

CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, FRESNO  
CAMPUS MASTER PLAN COORDINATING COMMITTEE

Minutes  
August 17, 2006

Members:

Present: Cynthia Teniente-Matson, Robert Boyd, Kathy Johnson, Mark Aydelotte, Deborah Adishian-Astone, Ganesan Srinivasan, Julie Tone, James Kus, Michael Botwin and Kenneth Shipley

Absent: Doug Hensler, Bernard Vinovrski, Thomas Boeh, Robin Tricoli and Juan Pablo Moncayo

Guest: Gary Wilson

The Campus Master Plan Coordinating Committee meeting was opened by Cynthia Teniente-Matson at 11:30 a.m.

The focus of the meeting was to gather feed back on the 1<sup>st</sup> draft, discuss programmatic changes and the next steps for the master plan.

Cindy met with the Planning Group and they felt the master plan did not address integration across campus. The campus should come together from Campus Pointe to Athletics.

The landscape component of the master plan is important. It will tie, for example, the farm and athletics to the campus.

Programming Issues (Campus Programming)

Did the draft master plan adequately address faculty issues of collegiality and sufficient office spaces for programs?

There is still a shortage of work stations/faculty offices (full-time vs. part-time faculty) as regulations do not acknowledge space for part-time faculty. Bob feels this is an issue that needs to be discussed with Dr. Welty and the Cabinet.

Should the University consider a regional approach vs. school/college for faculty offices?

Should the plan for a regional approach be included in master plan?

The regional approach would create pooled offices and stations (shared spaces/hoteling) on campus. With this concept faculty would not be assigned to a school or college, but to a region on campus. This approach could open flex space for short term projects.

Would shared spaces be assigned to individuals or would they be shared across campus? Jim felt there should be consistency with faculty offices for the purpose of meeting with students

Jim pointed out that for every class taught there is a need for faculty to prepare for that class. This is an issue the University needs to help the Trustees understand.

Facilities Planning is currently preparing a space data clean-up which will give information on where there is a real need for space. The information used for this project was provided by each college.

The master plan projects out 5-10 years, but the University needs to think in terms of what is needed in the next 1-2 years.

Should the University accommodate a faculty lounge/center on campus?

This would be a central gathering location (Starbucks experience). Staff would also have the ability to use this space. With colleges spread all over campus there is a big problem with the lack of collegiality. CSU Stanislaus has a Faculty Center that could provide ideas. Would it be possible for the University to add onto the Alumni House or use a portion of the Residence Dining Hall for this area?

University Restaurant:

A main reason for having the restaurant is to entertain out of town guests, but it is too expensive for faculty to eat there regularly. There needs to be a space where people can take their lunch and hang out with friends.

If University Restaurant was demolished where would a replacement be located on campus?

How large would the new restaurant be?

What concept (restaurant/lounge/club) should be used?

Program issues, the main entry, playing fields and infrastructure will be discussed at the next meeting.

**Handouts:** Minutes from the July 17, 2006 meeting.

The meeting adjourned at 1:03 p.m.