

CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, FRESNO  
CAMPUS MASTER PLAN COORDINATING COMMITTEE

Minutes

January 4, 2007

Members:

Present: Cynthia Teniente-Matson, , Kathy Johnson, Mark Aydelotte, Michael Botwin, Doug Hensler, Deborah Adishian-Astone, Ganesan Srinivasan, James Kus, ,Bernard Vinovrski, Thomas Boeh, and Julie Tone

Absent: Kenneth Shipley, Jody Hironaka-Juteau, Robert Boyd, and Juan Pablo Moncayo

Guests: Dave Moll

The campus Master Plan Coordinating Committee was opened by Cynthia Teniente-Matson at 12: 15 p.m.

The focus of the meeting was to review the addition and demo list along with the recommendations.

The Coordinating Committee will submit a report or proposal to the Strategic Planning Committee with any recommendations.

Kathy discussed the different types of building categories from page 55 of the November draft (51 in December draft). The age and the condition of the building determined which category they were put into. These categories include:

- 1 – Newer building
- 2 – Moderate remodel
- 3 – Extensive remodel (major renovation)
- 4 – Major capital renewal
- 5 – Removed in the next 10 years

The difference between categories 3 & 4 is mainly the funding source.

Dave Moll recommended reviewing the accuracy of the legend on page 55 of the November draft (51 in December draft). Existing buildings should be capitalized.

University Center (bldg 32) and Keats (bldg 95) possibly demolished at the end of the library construction. Debbie Astone raised a concern about the plan for replacement space as University Center has specialized areas for food service. Food service facilities are needed at the center of campus. What are the plans for this area once these buildings are demolished? What might be enhanced in building 80 (University Student Union) to accommodate the services of University Center:

Is the Amphitheatre being demolished as part of the master plan?

The recommendations listed on page 62 of the November draft (58 in December draft) are in no particular order as colleges are listed alphabetically. President Welty and Provost Echeverria had input into what changes were realistic.

If McKee Fisk is demolished will IT relocate to the new classroom building? More analysis is needed to determine the location of IT.

There are security issues around the playing fields/facilities on the east side of campus. The track, baseball field, softball field, etc. are University facilities that need to be secured with the same techniques used to secure Bulldog Stadium. This creates a need for public-use fields. The community is not taking playing time from students, but they generate wear and tear on the fields. Is a playing field at O'Neil Park an option from a master plan stand point? Create a playing field on lot Q? The issue of playing fields can be resolved through policy.

There was discussion of the University working closely with the City of Fresno to improve the adjoining communities (apartments across Shaw Ave.).

**Handouts:** Minutes from the December 11, 2006 meeting and Master Plan December draft.

The next meeting is scheduled for Thursday, January 11, 2006 at 1:00 in the Foundation Board Room.

The meeting adjourned at 1:52 p.m.